



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

# Fax Cover Sheet

**Date:** 02 Oct 2001

<b>To:</b> Jun-Young E. Jeon	<b>From:</b> Kevin M. Nguyen
<b>Application/Control Number:</b> 09/437,580	<b>Art Unit:</b> 2674
<b>Fax No.:</b> 626-577-8800	<b>Phone No.:</b> 703-305-6209
<b>Voice No.:</b>	<b>Return Fax No.:</b> 703-872-9314
<b>Re:</b>	<b>CC:</b>

☐ **Urgent**    ☐ **For Review**    ☐ **For Comment**    ☒ **For Reply**    ☐ **Per Your Request**

Comments:

**Number of pages** 3 **including this page**

## STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/437,580

Applicant(s)

MACINNIS ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin M. Nguyen

Art Unit

2674

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin M. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jun-Young E. Jeon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 October 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takizawa and Keene.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kevin M. Nguyen  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 1 with emphasis on a method of horizontally scrolling a display window to the left comprising the steps of blanking out one or more pixels at a beginning of a portion of graphics data, the portion being aligned with a start address; and displaying the graphics data starting at the first non-blanked out pixel in the portion of the graphics data aligned with that start address. Agreed to withdraw the Office action as the claims define over Takizawa and Keene. No response from applicant is needed. Upon further consideration, the examiner will prepare a non-final office action .